United States District Court

for

Middle District of Tennessee Second Supplemental Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision [Supersedes Petition Filed as Docket Entry No. 39]

Name of Offender: <u>John Edward Howard</u>	Case Number: <u>3:10-00281-01</u>
Name of Sentencing Judicial Officer: Honorable Todd J. Campbell, U.S. District Judge	
Date of Original Sentence: April 28, 2011	
Original Offense: 18 U.S.C. 473: Sale of Counterfeit Federal Reserve Notes.	
Original Sentence: 3 years' probation.	
Type of Supervision: <u>Probation</u>	Date Supervision Commenced: April 28, 2011
Assistant U.S. Attorney: William Lee Deneke	Defense Attorney: Richard L. Tennent
PETITIONING THE COURT ☐ To issue a Summons. ☐ To issue a Warrant. ☐ To Consider Additional Violation / Information.	
THE COURT ORDERS: No Action The Issuance of a Warrant: Scaled Pending Warrant Execution (cc: U.S. Probation and U.S. Marshal or The Issuance of a Summons. Other Consider additional alleged violations at the heart on 1/3/1/3 at 1:00 p.m. Considered this 26 day of 100 da	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Respectfully submitted, Lisa A. Capps Sr. U.S. Probation Officer Place Columbia, TN Date July 26, 2013

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

The information provided in the previous petition, filed as docket entry No. 39, has been amended as follows:

Violation No. 4 has been added detailing the offender's positive drug screen on June 20, 2013.

The probation officer believes that the offender has violated the following condition(s) of supervision:

Violation No. Nature of Noncompliance

1. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On January 7, 2013, February 6, 2013, and March 19, 2013, Mr. Howard submitted urine samples that tested positive for marijuana. Mr. Howard admitted that he had used marijuana prior to all three tests. It should be noted that this offender has tested positive for marijuana on eight different occasions since the beginning of probation.

The defendant shall participate in a program of drug testing at the direction of the 2. Probation Officer:

On March 18, 2013, Mr. Howard failed to report for a random urine screen at the U.S. Probation Office, Nashville, Tennessee. It should be noted that this offender has failed to show for drug testing on six different occasions since the beginning of probation.

3. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On June 7, 2013, Mr. Howard submitted a urine sample that tested positive for marijuana. Mr. Howard denied any use of illicit drugs.

4. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On June 20, 2013, Mr. Howard submitted a urine sample that tested positive for marijuana. Mr. Howard denied any use of illicit drugs.

Compliance with Supervision Conditions and Prior Interventions:

On May 16, 2012, a 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of drug use and driving on a suspended license. It was recommended no action be taken at that time. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on May 18, 2012.

On February 7, 2013, a second 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of drug use on December 27, 2012, and February 6, 2013. It was recommended that no action be taken, but the violations be held in abeyance. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on February 13, 2013. It should be noted that the December 27, 2012, date was in error and the correct date for that positive urine sample was January 7, 2013.

Since being placed on supervision on April 28, 2011, Mr. Howard has submitted a total of 41 urine samples, of which 34 have been negative.

On April 18, 2013, a 12C petition was submitted notifying the Court of drug use on January 7, 2013, February 6, 2013, and March 19, 2013, as well as failure to report for a random urine screen on March 18, 2013. It was recommended a summons be issued for Mr. Howard to appear before Your Honor. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on April 18, 2013. A summons was issued for Mr. Howard to appear on or before May 6, 2013. Mr. Howard subsequently failed to appear on the summons. This officer was finally able to get in contact with Mr. Howard on June 7, 2013, and asked him why he had failed to appear on the summons issued by the Court for May 6, 2013. Mr. Howard stated that he never received it. He was directed to report Friday, June 14, 2013, on the summons. On June 14, 2013, Mr. Howard appeared before U.S. Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles for an Initial Appearance on the summons, and was released pending the final revocation hearing.

When questioned about the positive urine screens listed in the violation petition, Mr. Howard admitted that he had used on three occasions. He stated that his last use had been in March 2013, prior to the positive on March 19, 2013. According to the U.S. Probation Office test log, his most recent negative test was on May 29, 2013. This probation officer issued a verbal reprimand to Mr. Howard for violating his conditions of supervised release by using illegal drugs. Mr. Howard was re-instructed as to all his conditions, and specifically instructed not to possess or use illegal substances. Mr. Howard's drug testing will be increased to the most intensive level as a result of these new violations. In addition, Mr. Howard was continued in Phase 3 of the LIOP, which requires participants to engage in bi-weekly group drug treatment sessions, and individual counseling was added.

On June 14, 2013, a 12C petition was submitted notifying the Court of drug use on June 7, 2013. It was recommended the new violation behavior be considered at the revocation hearing scheduled for June 27, 2013. The revocation hearing has subsequently been continued until July 31, 2013.

Undate on Offender Characteristics:

John Howard is a resident of Davidson County, Tennessee, and has been under the federal supervision of the U.S. Probation Office since April 28, 2011. He is employed with Mid-South Carpenters, Nashville, Tennessee. He lives with his sister in Madison, Tennessee. The probation officer completes frequent surprise home visits at the offender's residence. Mr. Howard participates in Phase 3 of the Low-Intensity Outpatient Program (LIOP) which requires participants to engage in bi-weekly group drug treatment sessions.

This officer attempted to contact Mr. Howard via telephone and at home several times within the past month, with no success. It was determined that Mr. Howard had changed telephone numbers without advising this officer. He listed a new telephone number on his monthly report form which was received on June 7, 2013. This officer attempted to call the telephone number on June 11, 2013, but it stated the offender was unavailable. A text was sent to Mr. Howard and he returned this officer's call that same day. At that time, he was questioned about the positive urine sample submitted on June 7, 2013. He stated that his son had been in the hospital the previous week and he was very worried about him and depressed. Mr. Howard recalled consuming two beers on June 5, 2013, but did not recall using marijuana.

When questioned about the positive urine screen on July 20, 2013, Mr. Howard denied any new use of marijuana.

U.S. Probation Officer Recommendation:

It is respectfully requested that the additional violations be considered at the revocation hearing which is scheduled for July 31, 2013. The U.S. Attorney's Office is agreeable with this recommendation.

Approved:

Burton Putman

Supervisory U.S. Probation Officer

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE UNITED STATES V. JOHN EDWARD HOWARD, CASE NO. 3:06-00234-01

GRADE OF VIOLATION:

C

CRIMINAL HISTORY:

v

ORIGINAL OFFENSE DATE:

POST APRIL 30, 2003

PROTECT ACT PROVISIONS

The offender is presently serving a three-year term of probation. According to 18 U.S.C. § 3565(a)(1) and (2), if the offender violates a condition of probation at any time prior to the expiration or termination of the term of probation, the Court may, after a hearing and after consideration of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), do the following: (1) continue the offender on probation, with or without extending the term or modifying or enlarging the conditions; or (2) revoke the sentence of probation and re-sentence the offender. If the offender is revoked and re-sentenced, the following provisions are applicable:

Statutory **Provisions**

Guideline Provisions

Recommended Sentence

CUSTODY:

Not more than

18-24 months

none

20 years

SUPERVISED

Not more than 3

1-3 years

none

RELEASE:

3 years

Statutory Provisions: Revocation is mandatory if the Court finds the defendant possessed a controlled substance in violation of the condition set forth in subsection(d). 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(1). Revocation is also mandatory if the defendant refuses to comply with drug testing, thereby violating the condition imposed by section 3563(a)(4). 18 U.S.C. § 3565(b)(3). Additionally, revocation is mandatory if as a part of drug testing, the defendant tests positive for illegal controlled substances more than 3 times over the course of 1 year. 18 U.S.C. § 3565(b)(4).

<u>Guideline Policy Statements:</u> The guidelines set out in Chapter 7 of the United States Sentencing Guideline Manual are advisory. The violations listed above are Grade C violations. Upon a finding of a Grade C violation, the Court may (A) revoke supervised release; or (B) extend the term of supervised release and/or modify the conditions of supervision. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(2).

Respectfully Submitted,

Lisa A. Capps

Sr. U.S. Probation Officer

Approved:

Burton Putman

Supervising U.S. Probation Officer